UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION.

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (CGM)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING TRUSTEE'S FIFTY-FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION TO AFFIRM THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS DETERMINATIONS AND OVERRULE OBJECTIONS THAT APPEAR TO RAISE FACTUAL ISSUES

Upon consideration of the motion (the "Motion") [Docket No. __], by Irving H. Picard, trustee ("Trustee") for the substantively consolidated liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS") and the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff ("Madoff") (collectively, "Debtor"), in the above-captioned SIPA¹ liquidation proceeding seeking to have the Court affirm his claims determinations and overrule the related objections that appear to raise customer-specific factual issues (the "Objections"); and the net winner and net zero Claims to be disallowed and Objections to be overruled are identified in Exhibit A to the Declaration of Vineet Sehgal in Support of the Motion (the "Sehgal Declaration"), [Docket No. __]; and the net loser Claims determinations to be affirmed and Objections to be overruled are identified in

¹ All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed in the Motion.

Exhibit B to the Sehgal Declaration; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been given and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion as set forth herein is in the best interests of the Debtor, its estate, creditors, and all parties in interest; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Motion is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that the Claims listed on Exhibit A hereto are disallowed; and it is further ORDERED that the Trustee's Claims determinations regarding the Claims listed on Exhibits A and B are affirmed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Objections listed on Exhibits A and B are overruled; and it is further ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (CGM)

EXHIBIT A – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS

Objecting Party	Claim Number	Objection To Determination Docket Number	Counsel	Account Name	Account Number
Don H. Rimsky	014281	2244	Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Don H. Rimsky	1CM155
Feiner Living Trust	100125	750	Pro Se Filing	Phyllis Feiner Harvey Feiner Trustees Feiner Living Trust	1ZB241
Gloria Konigsberg I/T/F Jeffrey Konigsberg	007931	3006	Norman A. Kaplan, Esq.	Gloria Konigsberg I/T/F Jeffrey Konigsberg	1K0052
Gloria Konigsberg I/T/F Stephen R. Konigsberg	007933	3007	Norman A. Kaplan, Esq.	Gloria Konigsberg I/T/F Stephen R. Konigsberg	1K0053
Lisa B. Hurwitz Revocable Trust U/D/T 2/26/98	004584	2181	Duane Morris LLP	Lisa B. Hurwitz Rev Trust Dtd 2/26/98 Peter G. Chernis Trustee	1EM383
The Marcia Chernis Revocable Trust Dtd 1/16/87	004690	2508	Duane Morris LLP	Marcia Chernis Rev Tst Dtd 1/16/87	1EM035

IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (CGM)

EXHIBIT B – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS

Objecting Party	Claim Number	Objection To Determination Docket Number	Counsel	Account Name	Account Number
Gloria Konigsberg	007932	3076	Norman A. Kaplan, Esq.	Gloria Konigsberg	1K0051
Mrs Andrea Certilman Ziegler	003220	3951	Certilman, Balin, Adler & Hyman LLP	Mrs Andrea Certilman Ziegler	1Z0016